

Торайғыров университетінің  
ҒЫЛЫМИ ЖУРНАЛЫ

НАУЧНЫЙ ЖУРНАЛ  
Торайғыров университета

---

# ТОРАЙҒЫРОВ УНИВЕРСИТЕТІНІҢ ХАБАРШЫСЫ

Химия-биологиялық сериясы  
1997 жылдан бастап шығады



## ВЕСТНИК ТОРАЙҒЫРОВ УНИВЕРСИТЕТА

Химико-биологическая серия  
Издается с 1997 года

ISSN 2710-3544

---

№ 1 (2025)

Павлодар

# НАУЧНЫЙ ЖУРНАЛ Торайгыров университета

**Химико-биологическая серия**  
выходит 4 раза в год

---

## СВИДЕТЕЛЬСТВО

о постановке на переучет периодического печатного издания,  
информационного агентства и сетевого издания  
№ KZ84VPY00029266

выдано  
Министерством информации и коммуникаций Республики Казахстан

**Тематическая направленность**  
публикация материалов в области химии, биологии, экологии,  
сельскохозяйственных наук, медицины

**Подписной индекс – 76134**

<https://doi.org/10.48081/ULYV8253>

---

### Бас редакторы – главный редактор

Ержанов Н. Т.  
*д.б.н., профессор*

Заместитель главного редактора  
Ответственный секретарь

Ахметов К. К., *д.б.н., профессор*  
Камкин В. А., *к.б.н., доцент*

### Редакция алқасы – Редакционная коллегия

|                          |                                                  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Яковлев Р.В.,            | <i>д.б.н., профессор (Российская Федерация);</i> |
| Титов С. В.,             | <i>доктор PhD;</i>                               |
| Касанова А. Ж.,          | <i>доктор PhD;</i>                               |
| Jan Micinski,            | <i>д.с.-х.н., профессор (Республика Польша);</i> |
| Surender Kumar Dhankhar, | <i>доктор по овощеводству,</i>                   |
|                          | <i>профессор (Республика Индия);</i>             |
| Шаманин В. П.,           | <i>д.с.-х.н., профессор</i>                      |
|                          | <i>(Российская Федерация);</i>                   |
| Азаренко Ю. А.,          | <i>д.с.-х.н., профессор</i>                      |
|                          | <i>(Российская Федерация);</i>                   |
| Омарова А. Р.            | <i>(технический редактор).</i>                   |

---

За достоверность материалов и рекламы ответственность несут авторы и рекламодатели

Редакция оставляет за собой право на отклонение материалов

При использовании материалов журнала ссылка на «Вестник Торайгыров университета» обязательна

<https://doi.org/10.48081/QMZQ1916>

**\*B. A. Shalabayev<sup>1</sup>, O. D. Shoikin<sup>2</sup>,  
Zh. Zh. Uakhitov<sup>3</sup>, A. Zh. Beisembay<sup>4</sup>**

<sup>1,3,4</sup>Toraighyrov University,

Republic of Kazakhstan, Pavlodar;

<sup>2</sup>Federal State Budgetary Educational

Institution of Higher Education

«Omsk State Agrarian University named after P. A. Stolypin»,

Russian Federation, Omsk.

<sup>1</sup>ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4551-0042>

<sup>2</sup>ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8803-2645>

<sup>3</sup>ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7090-7834>

<sup>4</sup>ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-0148-967X>

\*e-mail: [baur\\_-08.87@mail.ru](mailto:baur_-08.87@mail.ru)

## **STUDY OF PEA VARIETIES UNDER THE CONDITIONS OF NORTHEASTERN KAZAKHSTAN**

*The article presents the results of ecological variety testing of seeded peas conducted at experimental sites in the north-east of the Pavlodar region. The study involved 5 varieties of seeded peas. The assessment was carried out according to economically valuable and morphological characteristics. Experiments have shown high adaptability of the studied varieties to local climatic conditions and soil type. The average duration of the growing season of the studied cultivars was 78–84 days, with the exception of the ultra-early cultivar Kasib, which had a growing season of 65 days. The Ruslan variety showed the highest yield – 17.8 c/ha with a mass of 1000 seeds of 254.6 g and a protein content of 2.41 %, surpassing other varieties in terms of aggregate indicators. The tested cultivars, adapted to a short growing season, meet the requirements of the state standard and can increase the efficiency of feed production and solve the problem of protein deficiency in animal husbandry. High indicators of varietal samples confirm their importance for improving soil fertility and ensuring consistently high yields in the region, which contributes to food security and the maintenance of sustainable agricultural production. The*

*results obtained confirm the need for further research in this priority and promising area.*

*Keywords: ecological sorting test, peas, yield, protein, adaptability, sustainability, Pavlodar region.*

## **Introduction**

Plant protein deficiency is one of the key scientific challenges that need to be addressed. Growing leguminous crops is the most important way to combat this shortage [1; 2]. This crop's advantages include its wide application in food and feed purposes, as well as its ability to enhance soil fertility [3].

After growing peas in the soil, there is a significant amount of nitrogen up to 70 kg per hectare – which increases its fertility. In addition, peas are one of the best precursors for cereals and other crops because the yield of first wheat and barley after them is higher by 3-7 c/ha compared to the crop after cereals [4].

In Kazakhstan, peas are cultivated on an area of 130 thousand ha. Throughout the republic 21 varieties of peas are zoned: Ramonskii 77 (1962 y.); Kormovoy 24 (1968 y.); Karabalykskiy kormovoy (1971 y.); Neosypayushchiysya 1 (1979 y.) which was removed from the State Register in 1999 due to low technology; Donbass kormovoy (1984 y.); Ukosniy 5 kormovoy (1986 y.); Zernogradskiy Neosypayushchiysya (1989 y.); Kharkovskiy Usatiy kormovoy (1991 y.); Talovec 55 (1991 y.); Talovec 50 (1992 y.); Ukosniy Kormoviy kormovoi (1993 y.); Omsk Neosypayushchiysya (1993 y.); Usach Kazakhstanskiy 871 (1996 y.); Shal (2003 y.); Varyag (2008 y.); Aksaiiskii usatyi 55 (2011 y.); Yamal'skii (2012 y.); Zaural'skiy 3 (2015 y.); Kasib (2015 y.); Astronaut (2016 y.); Yamal 2 (2016 y.). In the Pavlodar region in 2016, the area under pea cultivation was 255 hectares.

One old variety of Neosypayushchiysya 1 was zoned in the region since 1979. The disadvantage of this variety is its “crop yield”, which creates difficulties with mechanized harvesting, increasing grain losses. The absence of new zoned varieties as well as primary seed farming in the area leads to uncontrolled imports of varieties from other regions, which without prior assessment and study are unlikely to solve the problem [5; 6].

The study of the adaptability of new varieties of peas in environmental tests, created and provided by breeding centers of Kazakhstan and Russia, is the most optimal and fast way to achieve practical results.

## **Materials and methods**

In the experimental site of LLP «Pavlodar Agricultural Research Institute» in 2014–2016, an environmental test of pea varieties was conducted under conditions in the north-eastern part of Pavlodar region. The field experiments were

calculated using the standard method. The plot area is 6 m<sup>2</sup> and the repetition is four times. Planting time in I decade of May, when the soil is physically ripe. The predecessor is pure steam. The agricultural technology of preparing the trial plot and pre-seeding treatment corresponds to the technology of cultivating field peas. In this regard, a complex of anti-erosion agricultural implements was used for soil cultivation, sowing of nurseries for environmental testing. The seeding of the breeding-grounds was carried out by a disc seeder SFK-7 at a depth of 5–6 cm from the bottom of the groove, followed by a ring roller. The rate of sowing is 1.0 million common seeds per hectare. Interlining is carried out manually. The productive moisture reserve was determined by method of N. M. Bakayev [7].

*The following calculations and observations were carried out:* agrometeorological conditions – based on observations of the Krasnoarmeyskaya AMS, soil moisture – by weight method in a layer of 0–100 cm in layers, phenological observations, accounting for field germination and plant survival, assessment of plant lodging according to a five-point system, accounting for grain yield – continuous threshing of plots with reduction to basic humidity and 100 % purity, study of the elements of the crop structure (sheaves harvested from trial sites of 0.25 m<sup>2</sup> were used). All records and observations were conducted using the State crop-type testing methodology [8]. Technological analysis of pea quality on 9 indicators was carried out in accordance with the methods STST (2001) and the Methodology of State Crop Testing «Technological assessment of cereal, cereal and legume crops (1988)», Rating for resistance to wear on a 5-point scale [9; 10; 11].

Processing of crop data was carried out using the method of dispersion analysis at B.A. Dospekhov [12].

The objects of research were 5 varieties of peas: Kasib (LLP «SPCGF named after A. I. Barayeva»); Zaural'skiy 3 (JSC «Kurgansemena»); Ruslan (SSI Krasnoyarsk SRIA); Aksaiiskii usatyi 55 (SSI Donskoy Zonalnyi SRIA). The standard was to take a zoned – Neosypayushchiysya 1.

### **Results and discussions**

To assess the quality of the seeds obtained, the following indicators were determined: purity, germination energy, germination, sowing season, humidity and weight of 1000 seeds (table 1).

Table 1 – Seed quality of pea seed varieties tested in the environmental variety test for 2014-2016.

| № | Name                    | Purity, % | Viability, % | Planting grade, % | Humidity, % | Mass 1000 grains, g |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| 1 | Kasib                   | 99,0      | 94,0         | 93,0              | 14,5        | 218,0               |
| 2 | Zaural'skyi 3           | 99,6      | 98,0         | 97,6              | 14,0        | 255,0               |
| 3 | Ruslan                  | 99,6      | 99,0         | 98,6              | 15,0        | 255,5               |
| 4 | Aksaiiskii usatyi 55    | 99,4      | 97,0         | 96,4              | 15,0        | 255,0               |
| 5 | Neosypayushchisya I gr. | 98,5      | 95,0         | 93,5              | 14,3        | 220,0               |

Thus, the seed qualities of the pea seed varieties were classified as class 1 in the seed standard (GOST 28674-90). The largest mass of 1000 seeds before sowing was determined from the following samples: Zaural'skyi 3, Aksaiiskii usatyi 55 and Ruslan – 255,0-255,5. Low mass of 1000 seeds was observed in the following samples Kasib – 218,0 g.

**Growing season.** According to the ripening groups of N.I. Vasiakin divides the peas into quick-ripened – 60-70 days, average – 75–90 days and late-ripened – 95–120 days or more [13].

In the ecological variety test, the development of pea plants from sowing to harvesting was divided into three periods: sowing-shooting, sowing-flowering, flowering-technical ripening.

Observations showed that 2014–2016 were characterized as contrasting in climatic conditions. The average duration of the growing season of the studied samples was 78–84 days. For the exception of the ultra-rare variety Kasib with a growing period of 65 days (table 2).

Table 2 – The ecological variety test in 2014–2016 found that pea varieties had an average length of growing season.

| № | Name                    | Growing season |         |         | Average |
|---|-------------------------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
|   |                         | 2014 y.        | 2015 y. | 2016 y. |         |
| 1 | Kasib                   | 61             | 65      | 70      | 65      |
| 2 | Zaural'skyi 3           | 70             | 79      | 84      | 78      |
| 3 | Ruslan                  | 72             | 79      | 83      | 78      |
| 4 | Aksaiiskii usatyi 55    | 76             | 82      | 84      | 80      |
| 5 | Neosypayushchisya I gr. | 74             | 88      | 90      | 84      |

**Field germination.** To get a high yield of peas with good qualities it is important to have friendly, full-fledged shoots. The density of shoots does not

always depend on such indicators as the rate of sowing, the time of sowing. The agroclimatic conditions under which crops are cultivated, as well as the adaptation of a particular variety to these conditions, have a great influence on the field germination.

Table 3 shows the laboratory germination of seeds sown in the experiment and their field germination. The laboratory growth rate was high in almost all years and ranged from 94 to 100 % in three years. Field germination under the prevailing weather conditions was initially favorable for seed germination. Less difference was observed in the samples Ruslan (1,4 %), Zaural'skiy 3 (2,0 %), Aksaiiskii usaty 55 (2,7 %).

Table 3 – Laboratory and field germination of pea seeds, 2014–2016

| №  | Name                        | 2014 y.    |          | 2015 y.    |          | 2016 y.    |          | Average  |       |
|----|-----------------------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|----------|-------|
|    |                             | laborat. % | field, % | laborat. % | field, % | laborat. % | field, % | laborat. | field |
| 1  | Varyag                      | 97,0       | 90,0     | 98,0       | 92,0     | 96,0       | 95,0     | 97,0     | 92,3  |
| 2  | Altayskii usaty             | 97,0       | 92,0     | 96,0       | 92,0     | 97,0       | 94,0     | 96,0     | 92,6  |
| 3  | Avans                       | 96,0       | 84,0     | 94,0       | 87,0     | 95,0       | 90,0     | 95,0     | 87,0  |
| 4  | Omskii 9                    | 95,0       | 87,0     | 96,0       | 92,0     | 95,0       | 90,0     | 95,0     | 89,6  |
| 5  | Omskii 18                   | 97,0       | 90,0     | 96,0       | 93,0     | 97,0       | 94,0     | 96,0     | 92,3  |
| 6  | Demos                       | 95,0       | 89,0     | 97,0       | 90,0     | 95,0       | 90,0     | 95,0     | 89,6  |
| 7  | Blagovest                   | 98,0       | 90,0     | 95,0       | 92,0     | 94,0       | 89,0     | 95,0     | 90,3  |
| 8  | Kasib                       | 94,0       | 87,0     | 95,0       | 89,0     | 95,0       | 90,0     | 94,0     | 88,6  |
| 9  | Zaural'skiy 3               | 98,0       | 95,0     | 99,0       | 97,0     | 97,0       | 96,0     | 98,0     | 96,0  |
| 10 | Ruslan                      | 100,0      | 97,0     | 100,0      | 99,0     | 98,0       | 97,0     | 99,0     | 97,6  |
| 11 | Aksaiiskii usaty 55         | 98,0       | 92,0     | 97,0       | 96,0     | 97,0       | 95,0     | 97,0     | 94,3  |
| 12 | Yamal'skii                  | 97,0       | 86,0     | 98,0       | 90,0     | 93,0       | 89,0     | 96,0     | 88,3  |
| 13 | Yamal 2                     | 98,0       | 92,0     | 97,0       | 95,0     | 94,0       | 90,0     | 96,0     | 92,3  |
| 14 | Astronaut                   | 97,0       | 89,0     | 95,0       | 91,0     | 94,0       | 86,0     | 95,0     | 88,6  |
| 15 | Neosypayushchiysya<br>1 gr. | 95,0       | 88,0     | 96,0       | 94,0     | 95,0       | 92,0     | 95,0     | 91,3  |

The preservation of plants to harvest, capable of forming a crop yield was higher in the sample Ruslan. Compared to the standard Neosypayushchiysya

1, it had 10 plants more by harvest (90 plants), which exceeded the standard by 10 % (table 4).

Table 4 – Plant conservation and survival of pea varieties, 2014–2016

| № | Name                     | Plant density, pieces/m <sup>2</sup> |                | Conservation of plants for harvesting, % | Dead plants, % |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|----------------|
|   |                          | on waste                             | before harvest |                                          |                |
| 1 | Kasib                    | 88                                   | 79             | 79,0                                     | 21,0           |
| 2 | Zaural'skyi 3            | 96                                   | 88             | 88,0                                     | 12,0           |
| 3 | Ruslan                   | 98                                   | 90             | 90,0                                     | 10,0           |
| 4 | Aksaiiskii usatyi 55     | 94                                   | 86             | 86,0                                     | 14,0           |
| 5 | Neosypayushchiysya 1 gr. | 91                                   | 80             | 80,0                                     | 20,0           |

**Main indicators of productivity of pea samples.** The yield of any crop is composed of two main indicators – number of plants per unit area, which are left for harvesting and weight of grain per plant.

In our conditions, the elements of crop structure differed according to the varieties of peas and weather-climatic conditions of the year.

The highest plant height in years of research is observed at the sample Neosypayushchiysya 1 – (85 cm). The average plant height of the other specimens was almost the same and was 65–70 cm. Low plant height showed sample Kasib – (63 cm), (table 5).

Table 5 – Elements of the crop structure of peas in ecological sorttesting, 2014–2016

| № | Name                     | Height of plants, cm | Quantity per plant, pcs. |        | Grain mass on 1 plant, g | Mass of 1000 seeds, g |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
|   |                          |                      | beans                    | grains |                          |                       |
| 1 | Kasib                    | 63                   | 5                        | 11     | 1,4                      | 180,0                 |
| 2 | Zaural'skyi 3            | 70                   | 7                        | 19     | 2,0                      | 250,2                 |
| 3 | Ruslan                   | 70                   | 7                        | 20     | 2,0                      | 254,6                 |
| 4 | Aksaiiskii usatyi 55     | 68                   | 6                        | 18     | 1,9                      | 250,2                 |
| 5 | Neosypayushchiysya 1 gr. | 85                   | 4                        | 11     | 1,4                      | 190,0                 |

Although the number of beans and seeds in the crop has changed significantly due to growing conditions, the variety has had a decisive influence on these indicators.

On average for the years of research, the maximum number of beans on the plant was in the varieties Ruslan and Zaural'skyi 3 number of beans on the plant was 7 pieces.

The total number of grains in the crop is one of the main components of the yield of the pea varieties.

The highest number of grains per plant had the following types: Ruslan – 20 pcs; Zaural'skyi 3–19 pcs. The following samples of Demos and Kasib – 11 grains per plant were at the level with the standard.

The mass of grain from 1 plant is determined primarily by the number of beans and seeds on the plant. Between the number of seeds in the seed stock and the yield I have not found a relationship, so this indicator cannot influence the choice of the variety. So, the largest mass of grain in 1 plant in the samples Ruslan, Zaural'skyi 3–2,0 g. and Aksaiiskii usatyi 55–1,9 g. The mass of the grain on 1 plant in the standard Neosypayushchiysya 1 was – 1,4 g.

The mass of 1000 grains is a fairly stable element of productivity and changes under weather conditions to a lesser extent than the number of beans and grains in the plant.

On average for three years of research the largest mass of 1000 grains were characterized by the samples Ruslan – 254,6 g, Zaural'skyi and Aksaiiskii usatyi 55 – 250,2 g, Blagovest – 250,0 g. Low mass of 1000 grains is marked in the samples Kasib – 180,0 g, (- 10,0 g).

**Protein content in pea grain.** The protein content in pea grain is relatively stable and changes mainly depending on varietal characteristics. However, the process of nitrogen fixation and protein accumulation in grain cannot be considered in isolation from soil-climatic conditions.

In the course of research, protein content in pea grain was higher in 2014 (2,40–2,45 %), as it was characterized more arid. In 2016, due to excess moisture, the protein content in pea grain was lower than in the previous year – 2,29–2,39 %. Average protein content in pea grain was – 2,33–2,41 %. (table 6).

Table 6 – Protein content (%) in pea grain in the ecological grade test, 2014–2016.

| № | Name                 | 2014 y. | 2015 y. | 2016 y. | Average |
|---|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1 | Kasib                | 2,41    | 2,32    | 2,30    | 2,34    |
| 2 | Zaural'skyi 3        | 2,42    | 2,39    | 2,37    | 2,39    |
| 3 | Ruslan               | 2,45    | 2,41    | 2,39    | 2,41    |
| 4 | Aksaiiskii usatyi 55 | 2,41    | 2,25    | 2,33    | 2,33    |

|   |                             |      |      |      |      |
|---|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| 5 | Neosypayushchijsya<br>1 gr. | 2,40 | 2,31 | 2,29 | 2,33 |
|---|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|

Thus, the highest protein content was found in the following samples Ruslan – 2.41 %. Protein content in the grains of the test samples varied mainly according to the variety and the weather conditions of individual years.

**Yield of pea varieties.** At the end of a crop, when certain factors and indicators interact, the final result is the yield of the crop. When we grow peas, we are interested in the yield of the crop.

Our studies have shown that the yield, as well as its dependence on growing seasons, was not unequal over the years.

2014 was more arid than the previous years. There is a negative relationship between the crop yield and the period of passage of the vegetative phase with the external conditions of the environment, that is those types which previously formed the generating organs, could use the remaining reserves of moisture.

Thus, in the case of increasing drought, the yield of pea varieties at the end of the growing season was between 10,0 and 14,2 c/ha. Low yield was observed for the Kasib sample – 10,0 c/ha (- 1,2 c/ha) respectively to standard Neosypayushchijsya 1 (11,2 c/ha).

In 2015, with more favourable conditions for heat and moisture, the yield of all varieties was higher than in the previous year. Ruslan sample was particularly outstanding – 22,8 c/ha. The yield of the remaining samples ranged from 9,1 to 21,5 c/ha (table 7).

In the conditions of excess rainfall in the middle of the growing season in 2016, the soil structure deteriorated, compacted and soaked, creating stressful conditions for the growth and development of pea plants. Which caused low crop yields. In such extreme conditions, yield ranged from 14,8 to 16,5 c/ha (table 7).

Thus, among the samples tested, the greatest increase in yield over three years was observed in the Ruslan sample 17,8 c/ha (+ 3,1 c/ha), respectively. Also according to the results of the three-year test he was given a high score on shedding, planability and phenotype – 5 points.

Table 7 – Pea yield in ecological sortotest, c/ha, 2014–2016

| №  | Name          | Yield c/ha |         |         | Average | ±<br>к ст. | The average score for |         |           |
|----|---------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|------------|-----------------------|---------|-----------|
|    |               | 2014 y.    | 2015 y. | 2016 y. |         |            | crumbling             | lodging | phenotype |
| 8  | Kasib         | 10,0       | 19,1    | 15,0    | 14,6    | - 0,1      | 4                     | 4       | 4         |
| 9  | Zaural'skiy 3 | 13,0       | 21,5    | 16,3    | 16,9    | + 2,2      | 5                     | 4       | 5         |
| 10 | Ruslan        | 14,2       | 22,8    | 16,5    | 17,8    | + 3,1      | 5                     | 5       | 5         |

|        |                             |      |      |      |      |       |   |   |   |
|--------|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|---|---|---|
| 11     | Aksaiiskii usatyi 55        | 12,7 | 21,1 | 15,8 | 16,3 | + 1,6 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| 15     | Neosypayushchiysya<br>I gr. | 11,2 | 19,0 | 14,0 | 14,7 | -     | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| HCP 05 |                             | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0,9   | - | - | - |

Analyzing the data obtained in the ecological variety test, it can be said that all tested varieties are adapted to the conditions of the Pavlodar region.

Sample Ruslan. Whose originator is SSI Krasnoyarsk SRIA and JSC «Kurgansemena» exceeded the standard and other grades by all indicators: field growth – 97,6 %, crop yield – 17,8 c/ha (+ 3.1 c/ha), lake coverage of one plant 20 pcs., the mass of 1000 seeds – 254,6 g, and raw protein content 241 %. It does not fall short of the standard and other grades in the resistance to eating and falling – 5 points.

### Conclusions

1 In the 2014-2016 environmental test, there were 5 varieties of peas: Kasib (LLP «SPCGF named after A.I. Barayeva»); Zaural'skiy 3 (JSC «Kurgansemena»); Ruslan (SSI Krasnoyarsk SRIA); Aksaiiskii usatyi 55 (SSI Donskoy Zonalnyi SRIA). The standard was to take a zoned – Neosypayushchiysya 1.

2 The highest protein content was found in Ruslan – 2,41 %. Protein content in the grains of the test samples varied mainly according to the variety and the weather conditions of individual years.

3 Highest yield increase in sample Ruslan 17,8 c/ha (+ 3,1 c/ha). Whose originator is SSI Krasnoyarsk SRIA and JSC «Kurgansemena» exceeded the standard and other grades by all indicators: field growth – 97,6 %, crop yield – 17,8 c/ha (+ 3.1 c/ha), lake coverage of one plant 20 pcs., the mass of 1000 seeds – 254,6 g, and raw protein content 241 %. It does not fall short of the standard and other grades in the resistance to eating and falling – 5 points.

### References

1 Давлетов, Ф. А., Гайнуллина, К. П., Магафурова, Ф. Ф. Сравнительное изучение хозяйственно-биологических признаков у сортов гороха, созданных в республике Башкортостан за последние 30 лет. Сельскохозяйственные науки Оренбурга, 2020. – № 4 (84). – с. 72–77.

2 Мартемьянова, Л. Е., Савельева, Ю. С. Зернобобовые культуры : перспективы применения / Вестник. Алтайской науки. 2015. – № 2. – С. 50–51.

3 Безуглова, О. С., Коробова, Н. А. Совершенствованная эколого-адаптивная технология возделывания новых сортов гороха применительно к почвенно-климатическим условиям приазовской зоны Ростовской области

в различных агроландшафтах. Рекомендация. ФГБНУ ФРАНЦ. Рассвет, 2021. – 60 с.

4 **Кошеляев, В. В., Кошеляева, И. П., Шабышев, Н. В.** Оценка сортов гороха при различных уровнях минерального питания. Журнал «Нива Поволжья». Номер: 1(58). Ежегодник – 2021. – С. 52–58.

5 Об утверждении Государственного реестра селекционных достижений, рекомендуемых к использованию в Республике Казахстан, и Перечня перспективных сортов сельскохозяйственных растений. Приказ Министра сельского хозяйства Республики Казахстан от 30 июля 2009 года № 434. Зарегистрирован в Министерстве юстиции Республики Казахстан 28 августа 2009 года № 5759. <http://adilet.zan.kz/rus/docs/V090005759> (дата обращения: 18.11.2024).

6 [https://tengrinews.kz/zakon/agentstvo\\_respubliki\\_kazahstan\\_po\\_statistike/hozyaystvennaya\\_deyatelnost/id-V1100007147](https://tengrinews.kz/zakon/agentstvo_respubliki_kazahstan_po_statistike/hozyaystvennaya_deyatelnost/id-V1100007147) (дата обращения: 05.11.2024).

7 **Бакаев, Н. И., Васько, И. А.** Методика определения влажности почвы в агротехнических опытах// Методические указания и рекомендации по вопросам земледелия. – Целиноград, 1975. – С. 57–80.

8 Методика проведения сортоиспытания сельскохозяйственных растений // Утверждена приказом Министра сельского хозяйства Республики Казахстан от «13» мая 2011 года № 06-2/254. С. 1–126.

9 Межгосударственные стандарты. Сборник. Зерно. Методы анализа. - М.: ИПК Изд-во стандартов, 2001. – 108 с.

10 Методика государственного сортоиспытания сельскохозяйственных культур. Технологическая оценка зерновых, крупяных и зернобобовых культур. – М. : Колос, 1988. – С. 87–106.

11 **Федин, М. А.** Методика государственного сортоиспытания сельскохозяйственных культур. Общая часть / М. А. Федин. – М., 1985.

12 **Доспехов, Б. А.** Методика полевого опыта, – М., 1985. – 351 с.

13 **Васякин, Н. И.** Селекционное улучшение зернобобовых в Сибири// Селекция сельскохозяйственных культур: итоги, задачи, пути решения. - Новосибирск, 1997. – С. 15.

## References

1 **Davletov, F. A.** Sravnitel'noe izuchenie khozyaistvenno-biologicheskikh priznakov u sortov gorokha, sozdannykh v Respublike Bashkortostan za poslednie 30 let. [Comparative study of economic and biological characteristics of pea varieties developed in the Republic of Bashkortostan over the last 30 years] [Text]

/ F. A. Davletov, K. P. Gainullina, F. F. Magafurova. Sel'skokhozyaistvennyye nauki Orenburga, 2020. – № 4(84). – P. 72–77.

2 **Martem'yanova, L. E.** Zernobobovye kul'tury: perspektivy primeneniya. [Leguminous Crops : Application Prospects] [Text] / L. E. Martem'yanova, Yu. S. Savel'eva. – Vestnik. Altaiskoi nauki. 2015. – № 2. – P. 50–51.

3 **Bezuglova, O. S.** Sovershenstvovannaya ekologo-adaptivnaya tekhnologiya vozdelivaniya novykh sortov gorokha primenitel'no k pochvenno-klimaticheskim usloviyam priazovskoi zony Rostovskoi oblasti v razlichnykh agrolandshaftakh. [Advanced eco-adaptive technology for cultivating new pea varieties adapted to the soil and climatic conditions of the azov zone in the Rostov region across various agro-landscapes] [Text] / O. S. Bezuglova, N. A. Korobova. – Rekomendatsiya. FGBNU FRANTS. Rassvet, 2021. – 60 p.

4 **Koshelyaev, V. V.** Otsenka sortov gorokha pri razlichnykh urovnyakh mineral'nogo pitaniya. [Evaluation of Pea Varieties Under Different Levels of Mineral Nutrition] [Text] / V. V. Koshelyaev, I. P. Koshelyaeva, N. V. Shabyshev. Zhurnal «Niva Povolzh'ya». Nomer: 1 (58). Ezhegodnik – 2021. – P. 52–58.

5 Ob utverzhdenii Gosudarstvennogo reestra selektsionnykh dostizhenii, rekomenduemykh k ispol'zovaniyu v Respublike Kazakhstan, i Perechnya perspektivnykh sortov sel'skokhozyaistvennykh rastenii. Prikaz Ministra sel'skogo khozyaistva Respubliki Kazakhstan ot 30 iyulya 2009 goda № 434. Zaregistrovan v Ministerstve yustitsii Respubliki Kazakhstan 28 avgusta 2009 goda № 5759. [On the Approval of the State Register of Breeding Achievements Recommended for Use in the Republic of Kazakhstan and the List of Promising Varieties of Agricultural Plants. Order of the Minister of Agriculture of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated July 30, 2009. – No. 434. Registered with the Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Kazakhstan on August 28, 2009, No. 5759.] <http://adilet.zan.kz/rus/docs/V090005759> (data obrashcheniya: 18.11.2024).

6 [https://tengrinews.kz/zakon/agentstvo\\_respubliki\\_kazakhstan\\_po\\_statistike/hozyaystvennaya\\_deyatelnost/id-V1100007147](https://tengrinews.kz/zakon/agentstvo_respubliki_kazakhstan_po_statistike/hozyaystvennaya_deyatelnost/id-V1100007147) (data obrashcheniya: 05.11.2024).

7 **Bakaev, N. I.** Metodika opredeleniya vlazhnosti pochvy v agrotekhnicheskikh opytakh. [Methodology for Determining Soil Moisture in Agrotechnical Experiments] [Text] / N. I. Bakaev, I. A. Vas'ko. Metodicheskie ukazaniya i rekomendatsii po voprosam zemledeliya. – Tselinograd, 1975. – P. 57–80.

8 Metodika provedeniya sortoispytaniya sel'skokhozyaistvennykh rastenii. [Methodology for conducting variety trials of agricultural plants]. Utverzhdena prikazom Ministra sel'skogo khozyaistva Respubliki Kazakhstan ot 13.05.2011. № 06-2/254. – P. 1–126.

9 Mezhgosudarstvennyye standarty. [Interstate Standards]. Sbornik. Zerno. Metody analiza. – M. : IPK Izd-vo standartov, 2001. – 108 p.

10 Metodika gosudarstvennogo sortoispytaniya sel'skokhozyaistvennykh kul'tur. [Methodology for state variety testing of agricultural crops]. Tekhnologicheskaya otsenka zernovykh, krupyanykh i zernobobovykh kul'tur. - M. : Kolos, 1988. – P. 87–106.

11 **Fedin, M. A.** Metodika gosudarstvennogo sortoispytaniya sel'skokhozyaistvennykh kul'tur. [Methodology for the state variety testing of agricultural crops] [Text] / M. A. Fedin. Obshchaya chast' – M., 1985.

12 **Dospakhov, B. A.** Metodika polevogo opyta. [Methodology of Field Experimentation] [Text] / B. A. Dospakhov. – M., 1985. – 351 p.

13 **Vasyakin, N. I.** Seleksionnoe uluchshenie zernobobovykh v Sibiri. [Selective Improvement of Leguminous Crops in Siberia] [Text] / N. I. Vasyakin. Seleksiya sel'skokhozyaistvennykh kul'tur: itogi, zadachi, puti resheniya. – Novosibirsk, 1997. – 15 p.

Received 17.11.24.

Received in revised form 08.01.25.

Accepted for publication 02.03.25.

\*Б. А. Шалабаев<sup>1</sup>, О. Д. Шойкин<sup>2</sup>, Ж. Ж. Уахитов<sup>3</sup>, А. Ж. Бейсембай<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,3,4</sup>Торайгыров университеті,

Қазақстан Республикасы, Павлодар қ.;

<sup>2</sup>«П. А. Столыпин атындағы

Омбы мемлекеттік аграрлық университеті»

Жоғары білім берудің федералды мемлекеттік

бюджеттік білім беру мекемесі,

Ресей Федерациясы, Омбы қ.

17.11.24 ж. баспаға түсті.

08.01.25 ж. түзетулерімен түсті.

02.03.25 ж. басып шығаруға қабылданды.

## ҚАЗАҚСТАННЫҢ СОЛТҮСТІК-ШЫҒЫСЫ ЖАҒДАЙЫНДА АСБҰРШАҚ СОРТТАРЫН ЗЕРТТЕУ

*Мақалада Павлодар облысының солтүстік-шығысындағы тәжірибелік учаскелерде жүргізілген егістік бұршақтың экологиялық сұрыптық сынағының нәтижелері келтірілген. Зерттеуге бұршақ тұқымының 5 түрі қатысты. Бағалау экономикалық құнды және морфологиялық белгілер бойынша жүргізілді. Тәжірибелер зерттелетін сорттардың жергілікті климаттық жағдайларға*

*және топырақ түріне жоғары бейімделуін көрсетті. Зерттелетін сорттардың вегетациялық кезеңінің орташа ұзақтығы 78-84 күнді құрады, касибтің ультра ерте сорттарын қоспағанда, оның вегетациялық кезеңі 65 күнді құрады. Ең жоғары өнімділікті «Руслан» сорты көрсетті — 17,8 ц/га, салмағы 1000 тұқым 254,6 г және ақуыз мөлшері 2,41%, индикаторлар жиынтығы бойынша басқа сорттардан асып түсті. Қысқа вегетациялық кезеңге бейімделген сыналатын сорт үлгілері мемлекеттік стандарт талаптарына сәйкес келеді және жемішпен өндірісінің тиімділігін арттырып, мал шаруашылығындағы ақуыз тапшылығы мәселесін шеше алады. Сорт үлгілерінің жоғары көрсеткіштері олардың топырақ құнарлылығын жақсарту және азық-түлік қауіпсіздігіне және тұрақты Ауыл шаруашылығы өндірісін қолдауға ықпал ететін аймақ жағдайында тұрақты жоғары өнімділікті қамтамасыз ету үшін маңыздылығын растайды. Алынған нәтижелер осы басым және перспективалы бағытта одан әрі зерттеу қажеттілігін растайды.*

*Кілтті сөздер: экологиялық сортты сынау, бұршақ, өнімділік, протеин, бейімделу, төзімділік, Павлодар облысы.*

*\*Б. А. Шалабаев<sup>1</sup>, О. Д. Шойкин<sup>2</sup>, Ж. Ж. Уахитов<sup>3</sup>, А. Ж. Бейсембай<sup>4</sup>*

<sup>1,3,4</sup>Торайғыров университет,

Республика Казахстан, г. Павлодар;

<sup>2</sup>Федеральное государственное

бюджетное образовательное

учреждение высшего образования

«Омский государственный

аграрный университет имени П. А. Столыпина»,

Российская Федерация, г. Омск.

Поступило в редакцию 17.11.24.

Поступило с исправлениями 08.01.25.

Принято в печать 02.03.25.

## **ИЗУЧЕНИЕ СОРТОВ ГОРОХА В УСЛОВИЯХ СЕВЕРО-ВОСТОЧНОГО КАЗАХСТАНА**

*В статье представлены результаты экологического сортоиспытания посевного гороха, проведённого на опытных участках на северо-востоке Павлодарской области. В исследовании участвовали 5 сортов посевного гороха. Оценка проводилась по*

хозяйственно ценным и морфологическим признакам. Эксперименты показали высокую адаптивность исследуемых сортов к местным климатическим условиям и типу почвы. Средняя продолжительность вегетационного периода изучаемых сортообразцов составила 78–84 дня, за исключением ультрараннего сортообразца Касиб, у которого вегетационный период составил 65 дней. Наибольшую урожайность показал сорт «Руслан» — 17,8 ц/га с массой 1000 семян 254,6 г и содержанием белка 2,41 %, превосходя другие сорта по совокупности показателей. Испытуемые сортообразцы, приспособленные к короткому вегетационному периоду, соответствуют требованиям государственного стандарта и могут повысить эффективность кормопроизводства и решить проблему дефицита белка в животноводстве. Высокие показатели сортообразцов подтверждают их значимость для улучшения плодородия почвы и обеспечения стабильно высокой урожайности в условиях региона, что способствует продовольственной безопасности и поддержанию устойчивого сельскохозяйственного производства. Полученные результаты подтверждают необходимость дальнейших исследований в этом приоритетном и перспективном направлении.

*Ключевые слова:* экологическое сортоиспытание, горох, урожайность, протеин, адаптивность, устойчивость, Павлодарская область.

Теруге 12.03.2025 ж. жіберілді. Басуға 26.03.2025 ж. қол қойылды.

Электронды баспа

3,89 МБ RAM

Шартты баспа табағы 12,72.

Таралымы 300 дана. Бағасы келісім бойынша.

Компьютерде беттеген А. К. Темиргалинова

Корректорлар: А. Р. Омарова, Д. А. Кожас

Тапсырыс № 4390

Сдано в набор 12.03.2025 г. Подписано в печать 26.03.2025 г.

Электронное издание

3,89 МБ RAM

Усл. п. л. 12,72. Тираж 300 экз. Цена договорная.

Компьютерная верстка А. К. Темиргалинова

Корректоры: А. Р. Омарова, Д. А. Кожас

Заказ № 4390

«Toraighyrov University» баспасынан басылып шығарылған

Торайғыров университеті

Павлодар мемлекеттік университеті

140008, Павлодар қ., Ломов к., 64, 137 каб.

«Toraighyrov University» баспасы

Торайғыров университеті

140008, Павлодар қ., Ломов к., 64, 137 каб.

8 (7182) 67-36-69

e-mail: [kereku@tou.edu.kz](mailto:kereku@tou.edu.kz)

[www.vestnik-cb.tou.edu.kz](http://www.vestnik-cb.tou.edu.kz)